UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

l	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
•	10/695,223	10/28/2003	Perriann M. Holden	810101-3	4944
	33651 JERRY RICHA	7590 02/08/200 ARD POTTS	1	EXAM	INER
	3248 VIA RIBI			HOEY, ALISSA L	
	ESCONDIDO,	CA 92029		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3765	
r	·	<del> </del>		·	
l	SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
	31 D	AYS	02/08/2007	PAF	ER

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/695,223	HOLDEN, PEI	RRIANN M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alissa L. Hoey	3765	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence	e address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maximum data of the maximum status of the second patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. The reply be timely filed ENTHS from the mailing date of the ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)	his communication.
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 00	8 December 2006.		
<u> </u>	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		tters, prosecution as to	the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under			
Disposition of Claims	•	,	
· <u> </u>	ation		
4) Claim(s) 36-55 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without the control of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of the above claim(s) is/are pending in the application of			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	rawii iloiti consideration.		
		•	
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	d/or clastica requirement		
8) Claim(s) <u>36-55</u> are subject to restriction and	a/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	•
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a	a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 3	7 CFR 1.121(d).
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or forn	n PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of:	eign priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received		
Certified copies of the priority docum     Certified copies of the priority docum		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the provided the pro		•	
application from the International But		III and Hauc	ai Olago
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		ot received.	
555 the attached detailed office detail for a	or are solution deploy he		
			·
attachment(s)			
. 🗖	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
) U Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· —		
<ul> <li>) ☐ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>) ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)</li> </ul>	Paper No	o(s)/Mail Date  Informal Patent Application	

Application/Control Number: 10/695,223 Page 2

Art Unit: 3765

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 36-54, drawn to a pad, classified in class 2, subclass 267.
- II. Claim 55, drawn to a pad that is adhesively attached to a user, classified in class 2, subclass 244.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

- 2. Inventions group I and group II are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different designs, modes of operation, and effects (MPEP § 802.01 and § 806.06). In the instant case, the different inventions the pad on group I can be any pad used for any purpose the pad of group II is a pad used with the human body and attachable thereto. The pad of group I does not need to protect a user's body or attach thereto.
- 3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Election of Species

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (only if group I is selected from above)

Select one of the following (a or b or c or d):

Application/Control Number: 10/695,223

Art Unit: 3765

a) Claims 39-40: knee protector

b) Claims 41, 42 and 44: Elbow protector

c ) Claims 43: Shin protector

d) Claims 47-49 and 51: Foot protector

Additionally, Select one of the following (a or b)

a) claim 45: gel cushioning material

b) claim 46: magnetic cushioning material

Examiner notes that claim 50 is dependent upon claim 37. Claim 50 defines a ground contacting base as having a durable and flexible character. Claim 37 defines a protective base being a protective shell. It is unclear how the protective ground contacting base can be both flexible, durable and a protective shell. If claim 37 is incorrectly depending upon claim 37 and is dependent upon another claim, there would be an election of species requirement between the ground contacting base being either a) flexible and durable or b) a protective shell.

The species are independent or distinct because a-d from above all deal with different types of body protectors.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 36 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim

Application/Control Number: 10/695,223

Art Unit: 3765

is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Alissa L. Hoey whose telephone number is (571) 272-4985. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00-5:30)Second Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Welch can be reached on (571) 272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ALH

ALISSA HOEY
PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700